

PCT

REC'D 27 OCT 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No.	International filing date (daylm					
PCT/BR 03/00113 International Patent Classification (IPC) or bo		PC .				
F25B39/02	71 Hadoria Guerra					
Applicant MULTIBRAS S.A. ELETRODOMES	STICOS et al.					
This international preliminary exa Authority and is transmitted to the	mination report has been pre applicant according to Artic	repared by this International Preliminary Examining cle 36.				
1 .	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a total	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.					
3. This report contains indications	relating to the following items	ns:				
🛛 Basis of the opinion	☑ Basis of the opinion					
Priority	Priority Priority Priority step and industrial applicability					
	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
V M Recented statemen	 IV					
VI Certain documents of						
VII Certain defects in th	e international application					
VIII Certain observations	— to the stand application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report				
12.03.2004	2	26.10.2004				
Name and mailing address of the internat preliminary examining authority:	ional	Authorized Officer				
European Patent Office	20000 d	McLaughlin, D				
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	23656 epmu a	Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2762				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/BR 03/00113

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Dage	ription, Pages			
	1-6	ription, rages	as originally filed		
	Clain	ns, Numbers	as originally filed		
	Drav	vings, Sheets			
	1/4-4	-	as originally filed		
2.	With	regard to the languag	ge, all the elements marked rnational application was file	above were available or furnished d, unless otherwise indicated und	to this Authority in the er this item.
				thority in the following language:	, which is:
		the language of a tran	slation furnished for the pur	poses of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the lenguage of public	eation of the international ap	plication (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
		the language of a tran	nslation furnished for the pur i).	poses of international preliminary	
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					nal application, the g:
			national application in writte		
		filed together with the	e international application in	computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequen	tly to this Authority in writter	n form.	
The furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
		The statement that the	ne subsequently furnished w	ritten sequence listing does not go furnished.	
		The statement that the listing has been furnituded	he information recorded in c	omputer readable form is identical	to the written sequence
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/BR 03/00113

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	to see the referred to under item 1 and annexed to

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-2

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-2

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-2

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY InterEXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

1) Claim 1

Document US-A-5 157 941 (Document D1) which is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, discloses (see column 3, lines 30 to 58 and column 4, line 57 to column 5, line 15 and figures 2, 3 and 5) an evaporator (24) for a refrigeration system, comprising a tube (26) provided with fins and bent in the form of a coil, conducting a refrigerating fluid therewith and comprising tube portions arranged parallel to each other and which are transversal to the direction of a forced airflow that passes externally through the evaporator (24) from a first end region of air admission to a second end region of air outlet of the evaporator.

In this known evaporator, the evaporator tube has its tube portions arranged as a coil, having an inlet end provided in the second end region of the evaporator (24), and an outlet end provided in the first end region of the evaporator, so that the refrigerating fluid flows through the coil in counterflow in relation to the forced air flow.

The argument of the applicant that the tube bending arrangement of document D1 differs from that of claim and that the manufacturing process leading to the evaporator of claim 1 is more complex, may be correct but are not expressed in the claim as differentiating features.

Hence, document D1 reveals all the features of claim 1 and the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

(It should be noted that the feature of claim 1 of the tube portions being transversal to the direction of the airflow is unclear, as the direction of airflow is not part of the claimed subject-matter and it cannot be used to clearly define such subject-matter).

- 2) Document D1 also reveals all the features of claim 2, so that claim 2 is also not new.
- 3) The industrial applicability of the application is obvious.